

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

**F030881      People v. Ortega**

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

**F030851      People v. Williams**

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

**F028570      People v. Wright**

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

**F031840      In re Michael P., a Minor**

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

**F030308      People v. Lenix**

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

**F027319      McCrossen v. Weir**

Pursuant to written stipulation of the parties hereto, IT IS  
HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is  
dismissed.

IN THE  
Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE  
Fifth Appellate District

**F030869      People v. Perez, Sr.**

**F031887      In re Perez, Sr. on Habeas Corpus**

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

**F030719      People v. French**

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

**F029842      McCready v. Steppe, Jr. et al.**

**F030105      McCready v. Steppe, Jr. et al.**

Good cause appearing therefor, the above-entitled actions are ordered consolidated for oral argument and determination of all issues.

**F026588      Guzman v. Visalia Community Bank**

The order denying appellant's motion to enforce respondent's section 998 offer to compromise is reversed. The trial court is directed to enter judgment for appellant in the amount of \$60,000. In all other respects the judgment is affirmed. The parties shall bear their own costs on appeal. Levy, J.

We concur: Stone (W.A.), Acting P.J.; Vartabedian, J.

[CERTIFIED FOR PARTIAL PUBLICATION]

**F029204      County of Stanislaus v. Quadri**

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

**F031215      County of Stanislaus v. Quadri**

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

**F032020      In re Tabitha N., a Minor**

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

**F032852      Sweeney Ranches et al. v. The Superior Court of Tulare County; Leffingwell Ag Sales Company**

Let a peremptory writ of mandate issue directing the trial court to forthwith vacate its "ORDER RE DEMURRER TO THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT" filed March 2, 1999, in its case number 97-180437, and issue an order overruling the demurrer and directing defendant Leffingwell Ag Sales Company to file an answer to the third amended complaint.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

**F032652      Yolanda B. v. The Superior Court of Tulare County; Tulare County Department of Health and Human Services**

Accordingly, we dismiss the petition as untimely and note, that even if we were to review the petition on its merits, it would fail for the reasons stated above.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]